UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,160	01/27/2005	Joni Kettunen	11001.146	6468
Fildes & Outla	7590 01/29/2007		EXAM	INER
Suite 2 20916 Mack Avenue Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236			JOHNSON, SHEVON ELIZABETH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	
·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/523,160	KETTUNEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shevon E. Johnson	3766				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Note the mailing date of this communication.  Do (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/27/2005.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-7 and 11-14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 8-10 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/27/2005.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/523,160 Page 2

Art Unit: 3766

## Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the second chosen rule" in line 2 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the relaxation index" in line 2 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the total resources index" in line 2 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because on line 1, the language "the invention" was used and should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The specification is objected to because on page 8, line 11, "generalized likelihood ratio" should be "generalized likelihood ratio (GLR)".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Swanson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,645).

In regards to claim 1, Swanson discloses a procedure for the detection of stress state, wherein ambulatory heart beat signal is measured, characterized in that segments are defined from heart beat signal with a chosen rule for segmentation, and at least one segment describing a physiological state with elevated cardiac activity due to physical workload and/or increased metabolic rate is identified and excluded, if exists, and segments other than the excluded segments are detected for a potential stress state, which is identified using a predetermined rule for the heart beat signal (col. 8, line 33-44).

In regards to claim 2, Swanson discloses a procedure characterized in that the first chosen rule is used to identify state and period of one or more following: exercise, physical activity, movement, recovery from exercise and postural changes (col. 3, line 44-col. 5, line 17).

In regards to claim 3, Swanson discloses a procedure characterized in that the second chosen rule comprises procedure to identify internally coherent segments from heart beat signal (col. 3, line 44-col. 5, line 17).

In regards to claim 4, Swanson discloses a procedure characterized in that detected segments are used for correcting heart rate based oxygen- and energy consumption estimate (col. 3, line 44-col. 5, line 17).

In regards to claim 5, Swanson discloses a procedure characterized in that an index representing a summary of the existence and level of stress, relaxation and/or resources for a chosen period of measurement, is determined. (col. 3, line 44-col. 5, line 17).

In regards to claim 6, Swanson discloses a procedure characterized in that stress and relaxation are measured on the basis of heart period measurement, wherein information on the length of detected relaxation and length of detected stress is used as informative in the detection and quantification of relaxation and stress states (col. 3, line 44-col. 5, line 17).

In regards to claim 7, Swanson discloses a procedure characterized in that information on the exercise, physical activity, movement, or postural changes is obtained from heart beat signal and at least one separate input (col. 3, line 44-col. 5, line 17).

# 7. Claims 1-7 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arnold et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,713,367).

In regards to claim 1, Arnold discloses a procedure for the detection of stress state, wherein ambulatory heart beat signal is measured, characterized in that segments are defined from heart beat signal with a chosen rule for segmentation, and at least one segment describing a physiological state with elevated cardiac activity due to physical workload and/or increased metabolic rate is identified and excluded, if exists, and segments other than the excluded segments are detected for a potential stress state, which is identified using a predetermined rule for the heart beat signal (col. 17, line 41 – col. 18, line 26).

In regards to claim 2, Arnold discloses a procedure characterized in that the first chosen rule is used to identify state and period of one or more following: exercise, physical activity, movement, recovery from exercise and postural changes (col. 17, line 41 – col. 18, line 26; col. 21, line 5 – col. 23, line 7).

In regards to claim 3, Arnold discloses a procedure characterized in that the second chosen rule comprises procedure to identify internally coherent segments from heart beat signal (col. 17, line 41 – col. 18, line 26; col. 21, line 5 – col. 23, line 7).

In regards to claim 4, Arnold discloses a procedure characterized in that detected segments are used for correcting heart rate based oxygen- and energy consumption estimate (col. 17, line 41 - col. 18, line 26; col. 21, line 5 - col. 23; line 7).

In regards to claim 5, Arnold discloses a procedure characterized in that an index representing a summary of the existence and level of stress, relaxation and/or resources for a chosen period of measurement, is determined (col. 17, line 41 – col. 18, line 26; col. 21, line 5 – col. 23, line 7).

In regards to claim 6, Arnold discloses a procedure characterized in that stress and relaxation are measured on the basis of heart period measurement, wherein information on the length of detected relaxation and length of detected stress is used as informative in the detection and quantification of relaxation and stress states (col. 17, line 41 – col. 18, line 26; col. 21, line 5 – col. 23, line 7).

In regards to claim 7, Arnold discloses a procedure characterized in that information on the exercise, physical activity, movement, or postural changes is obtained from heart beat signal and at least one separate input (col. 17, line 41 – col. 18, line 26; col. 21, line 5 – col. 23, line 7).

In regards to claim 11, Arnold discloses a procedure characterized in that the procedure is used in a wearable computer. (col. 8, line 49 – col. 10, line 67)

In regards to claim 12, Arnold discloses a procedure characterized in that the procedure is used in a fitness exercise equipment. (col. 8, line 49 – col. 10, line 67)

In regards to claim 13, Arnold discloses a procedure characterized in that the procedure is used in a PC-software. (col. 8, line 49 – col. 10, line 67)

In regards to claim 14, Arnold discloses a procedure characterized in that the procedure is used in a ECG/pulse-monitoring equipment (col. 8, line 49 – col. 10, fine 67).

Application/Control Number: 10/523,160 Page 6

Art Unit: 3766

# Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 8-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shevon Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2010. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shevon Johnson Art Unit 3766

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3766